



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

nitea States Patent a	na Iragemark Office
ddress: COMMISSIONER F	OR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 223	113-1450
www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	· FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/687,777	10/13/2000	Mukesh Patel	032481-021	1065
8791 73	590 06/18/2003			
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025		EXAMINER		
		DAS, CHAMELI		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2122	17
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		pp4		
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
. Office Action Summary	09/687,777	PATEL, MUKESH		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	C.DAS	2122		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replant. In reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (ariod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHEALTH (6) MONTHEALTH (6) COME ABANTALE.	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	13 October 2000 .			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uno Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,16-34 and 37-108</u> is/are pend	ding in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,16-34 and 37-108</u> are subject	to restriction and/or election re-	quirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	approved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in App	olication No		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_		
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).		
 a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom 	•			
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Not) 5) Notice of Inf	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/687,777 Page 2

Art Unit: 2122

1. Claims 10-15, 35-36 are canceled.

2. Claim number '24' has repeated twice. Appropriate correction is required.

Election/Restriction

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-9, 60, drawn to embodiments of a system comprising a pipelined central processing unit with associated native program counter; hardware accelerator operably connected to the central processing unit, the system is such that when the CPU returns from an interrupt, the reissue buffer examines the indication to determine whether to reissue a stored native instruction value, classified in class 717/149.
- II Claims 16- 26, 37-42, 46-49, 98-100, drawn to embodiments of interpretation of the first set to produce a native instruction, classified in class 717/139.
- III Claims 27- 34, 61-62, 65, 87-94, drawn to embodiments of stack-based instruction is translated into a single register-based instruction, classified in class 717/136.
- IV Claims 43-45, 50-59, 63, 101-108 drawn to embodiments execution of the Java byte codes, and stack based instructions execution classified in class 717/148.

Application/Control Number: 09/687,777 Page 3

Art Unit: 2122

V Claims 64, 66-86, 95-97 drawn to embodiments overflow or underflow indication, classified in class 717/126.

4. The inventions are distinct from each other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. Each respective invention has utility in a system not having the other. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 5. Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations discloses as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. Each respective invention has utility in a system not having the other. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 6. Inventions I and IV are related as subcombinations discloses as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. Each respective invention has utility in a system not having the other. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 7. Inventions I and V are related as subcombinations discloses as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. Each respective invention has utility in a system not having the other. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Art Unit: 2122

8. A telephone call was made to Mr. Joseph P.O. Malley on 6/2/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chameli Das whose telephone number is 703-305-1339.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 .A.M. to 4:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Greg Morse can be reached at 703-308-4789. The fax number for this group are:

(703) 746-7239 (official fax), (703) 746-7240 (non-official/draft), (703)746-7238 (after final).

An inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9600.

Chameli C. Das

Chamb'C-Don

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2122

6/14/03